1 BEFORE THE SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF SEA-LAND SERVICE, INC., 4 (SS SEA-LAND TRADE) PCHB No. 667 5 Appellant, FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW v. AND ORDER PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$250.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 5th day of December, 1974, at Seattle, Washington; and appellant did not appear and respondent, Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, appearing through its attorney, Keith D. McGoffin; and Board members present at the hearing being Walt Woodward (presiding) and Chris Smith and the Board having considered the sworn testimony, exhibits, records 18 and files herein and having entered on the 9th day of December, 1974,

10

11

12

13

14

15

1 Lts proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 4 The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 5 Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 6 7 now therefore. 8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 9th day of December, 1974, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached 10 hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 11 Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 12 DONE at Lacey, Washington, this day of 3 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

24

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 SEA-LAND SERVICE, INC., (SS SEA-LAND TRADE) 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 667 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, 6 vs. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 7 CONTROL AGENCY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$250.00 civil penalty for an alleged smoke emission violation of respondent's Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, presiding officer, and Chris Smith) at a formal hearing in the Seattle facility of the State Board of Industrial Insurance Appeals on December 5, 1974.

Respondent appeared through its counsel, Keith D. McGoffin.

Appellant did not appear. Eugene Barker, Olympia court reporter, recorded the proceedings.

After waiting for 15 minutes after the time set for the hearing,

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

the Board instructed Mr. McGoffin to present testimony to sustain respondent's case. A witness was sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Respondent, pursuant to Section 5, chapter 69, Laws of 1974,
3rd Ex. Sess., has filed with this Board a certified copy of its
Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

Section 9.03 of Regulation I makes it unlawful to cause or allow the emission for more than three minutes in any one hour of an air contaminant which has an opacity of more than 40 percent. Section 3.29 of Regulation I authorizes a civil penalty of not more than \$250.00 for a violation of Regulation I.

III.

On July 20, 1974, at Terminal 5, Port of Seattle, King County, the SS SEA-LAND TRADE, owned by appellant, emitted white smoke of an opacity from 80 to 100 percent for eight consecutive minutes.

IV.

An inspector on respondent's staff, called to the scene by a citizen complaint, observed the emission and served on appellant Notice of Violation No. 9793, citing Section 9.03. Subsequently, and in connection therewith, respondent served on appellant Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1683 in the sum of \$250.00, which is the subject

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1	of this appeal.
2	v.
3	Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed
4	a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.
5	From these findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes
6	to these
7	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
8	I.
9	Appellant was in violation of Section 9.03 of respondent's
10	Regulation I as detailed in Notice of Violation No. 9793.
11	II.
12	In view of the circumstances, Notice of Civil Penalty No. 1683
3	is reasonable.
14	III.
15	Any Finding of Fact, which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law
16	is hereby adopted as such.
16 17	is hereby adopted as such. Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this
17	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER The appeal is denied and the civil penalty of \$250.00 is sustained.
17 18	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER
17 18 19	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER The appeal is denied and the civil penalty of \$250.00 is sustained.
17 18 19 20	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER The appeal is denied and the civil penalty of \$250.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this The day of December 1974.
17 18 19 20 21	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER The appeal is denied and the civil penalty of \$250.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this The day of December 1974.
17 18 19 20 21 22	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER The appeal is denied and the civil penalty of \$250.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this The day of December 1974.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER The appeal is denied and the civil penalty of \$250.00 is sustained. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this The day of December 1974.

FINDING OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER